Brief Comparison of the 2012 Draft vs. 2013 Draft Hillside Management Area (HMA) Ordinance

The HMA Ordinance is being revised as a part of the General Plan Update process. Below is a brief summary of the October 2013 draft as it compares to the previous draft that was released in December 2012:

<u>1. Purpose</u>

December 2012 Draft	November 2013 Draft
To protect scenic hillside views,	To ensure that development preserves
conserve natural hillside character, and	the physical integrity and scenic value
avoid excessive grading and landform	of HMAs, provides open space, and
alteration through sensitive hillside	enhances community character by:
design, the preservation of open space,	1. Avoiding development in HMAs
and the promotion of compatible	to the extent feasible;
development and land uses.	2. Locating development in the
	portions of HMAs with the fewest
	constraints; and
	3. Using sensitive design
	techniques.

2. Definitions

December 2012 Draft	November 2013 Draft
Defines HMAs as any portion of a lot or	Definition of HMA remains the same as
parcel containing a terrain with a	the 2012 draft.
natural slope of 25% or greater.	
	For purposes of the Conditional Use
	Permit requirement, the definition of
	HMA does not apply to HMAs under a
	certain size.
	This draft also contains definitions for
	additional terms, including: constraints,
	o i o
	development, Hillside Design Guidelines, Improved Open Space, Natural Open Space, Rural Land Use Designation, and Sensitive Design Techniques.

2. Applicability.

December 2012 Draft	November 2013 Draft
Applies to apply to four types of	Applies to any development (as defined
projects: 1) Subdivisions, 2)	for this ordinance specifically) being
Development of two or more	undertaken in an HMA. This draft then
contiguous lots or parcels in a	lists the exceptions to the Conditional
coordinated effort, 3) Relocation of	Use Permit requirement, which include
property lines to create three or more	but are not limited to single-lot
contiguous lots or parcels in a	development (provided a 20,000 cubic
coordinated effort, and 4) Private	yard grading threshold is not
infrastructure projects unrelated to a	exceeded), lot line adjustments of one
development project (e.g. construction	property line, development undertaken
of roads, sewer lines, drainage	by a public utility, mitigation activities,
facilities, etc.).	and hazard/emergency management
	activities.

3. Open Space Requirement.

dedication to a non-profit: 2) a
dedication to a non-profit; 3) a
conservation easement; or 4) a
maintenance agreement with a Home
Owners' Association if the other
options are not feasible.

4. Design Standards.

December 2012 Dreft	November 2013 Draft
December 2012 Draft	
This draft contains design standards	All design standards were removed
related to development location, lot	from this draft and placed into an
width, structure height, terracing,	updated version of the Hillside Design
grading, street access and design,	Guidelines. These Guidelines include
0 0	
stormwater runoff, and retaining walls	a variety of design techniques that
	represent best practices for a variety of
	hillside contexts.
	Projects will need to demonstrate
	"substantial compliance" with these
	Guidelines in order to meet the findings
	of this draft. The Guidelines state that
	substantial compliance means that
	projects must satisfy a certain number
	of the best practices depending on the
	project size.